

Supported by the EU-Commission Public Health Directorate Programme of Community Action on Rare Diseases WHO Collaborating Centre for the Epidemiologic Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies

Special Report: Special Report:

EUROCAT and Orofacial Clefts: The Epidemiology of Orofacial Clefts in 30 **European Regions**







Authors

E Calzolari	Medical Genetics Unit, University of Ferrara, Italy
M Rubini	Medical Genetics Unit, University of Ferrara, Italy
A J Neville	Medical Genetics Unit, University of Ferrara, Italy
F Bianchi	CNR Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy

EUROCAT Working Group consists of:

M Gatt	Malta
R Caballin	El Valles, Spain
B Gener	Basque Country, Spain
J Salvador	Barcelona, Spain
C Mosquera-Tenreiro	Asturias, Spain
M Feijoo	Southern Portugal
G Scarano	Campania, Italy
A Calabro	Umbria, Italy
F Bianchi	Tuscany, Italy
E Calzolari	Emilia Romagna, Italy
R Tenconi	North East Italy
I Barisic	Zagreb, Croatia
M Haeusler	Styria, Austria
M-C Addor	Switzerland
V Steinbicker	Saxony-Anhalt, Germany
C Stoll	Strasbourg, France
Nicole Philipe,	Bouches-du-Rhone, France
Segolene Ayme	Bouches-du-Rhone, France
C de Vigan	Paris, France
Vera Nelen	West Flanders, Belgium
Y Gillerot	Hainaut-Namur, Belgium
D. Hansen-Koenig	Luxembourg
M. Roulleaux	Luxembourg
A Hazebroek	South West Netherlands
H de Walle	Northern Netherlands
A Ritvanen	Finland
E Garne	Odense, Denmark
G Edwards	Liverpool, UK
D Stone	Glasgow, Scotland, UK
N Nevin	Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK
H Johnson	Dublin, Ireland
D Lillis	Galway, Ireland

Acknowledgements

This report was first presented to the WHO International Collaborative Research on Craniofacial anomalies Meeting in Geneva, 2000.

The authors would like to thank all the physicians, nurses midwives and registry staff for their assistance in collecting and processing the data.

Introduction

EUROCAT is a programme supported by the European Community for the surveillance of congenital anomalies. The main objectives are to detect and investigate trends in the frequency of congenital anomalies that could be due to environmental teratogens or mutagens and to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of neonatal and perinatal health services. As congenital anomalies have a relative low prevalence, and good quality exhaustive data is expensive and difficult to collect, a standard European system could allow countries using data from regional registries to pool their data and to exploit their differences by comparing them.

At present EUROCAT involves 36 Registries in 17 European Union and associated countries, with approximately 900,000 births surveyed per year.

A standardised database based on more than 160,000 cases of congenital anomaly among livebirths, stillbirths and terminations of pregnancy since 1980 is located at the host centre.

Epidemiology of Oral Clefts (OC's) in Europe

Objective of the Study Analysis of OC data:

- To assess their quality ie. completeness, validity and homogeneity amongst Registries.
- To split cases into isolated, associated or recognised conditions.
- To describe the variation of the different types of OC's, with regard to geographical patterns and temporal trend.
- To produce an epidemiological description of the different OC types according to selected variables, such as sex ratio, birth weight, gestational length, and maternal obstetrical history.

Material and Methods

The 1980-1996 EUROCAT database include 9,390 cases with cleft Palate (CP), or Cleft Lip with or without Cleft Palate (CLP) collected by 30 Registries among 6,181,449 live and stillbirths. Induced abortions data were included, in accordance with the EUROCAT guidelines.

Validation and classification procedures have been performed on a sub-file that includes all eligible OC cases provided by the EUROCAT Central Database. This process has been carried out at the Medical Genetics Unit, Department of Experimental and Diagnostic Medicine, University of Ferrara.

Statistical analysis has been performed at the CNR Unit of Epidemiology in Pisa. All participating registries have been involved in the data validation process and in the interpretation as well as discussion of the results. Each individual record was classified into isolated, multiple congenital anomalies (MCA), and recognised conditions (including chromosomal and Mendelian syndromes and dysmorphological sequences.)

Results

Birth prevalence

In the present study birth prevalence of OC's varies significantly in Europe, not only between registries but also within countries, ranging from the lower rate of 6.3 per 10,000 births in El Valles (Spain) to the higher rate of 26.2 per 10,000 in Finland, with a European mean value of 15.2 per 10,000 (95% CI 14.9-15.5) (see Table 1).

When comparing the OC rate 95% confidence interval per each European centre (see Figure 1) with the European mean rate, we observed that:

- Centres over the upper 95% CI are: Finland, Odense, Northern Netherlands, Hainaut-Namur, Glasgow, Strasbourg, Bouches-du-Rhome and Styria.
- Centres below the lower 95% CI are: El Valles, Barcelona, North East Italy, Emilia Romagna, Tuscany, Umbria, Campania, Basque Country, Paris, Luxembourg, West Flanders and Belfast.

showing a clear difference between the north and south of Europe, although with some exceptions worthy of note.

Geographical distribution of OC in Europe is heterogeneous and points out different patterns for CP, CLP:

- CLP exceeded CP in all centres except in Finland, Malta and Glasgow (see Figure 2).
- For CP Finland presented the highest rate for both isolated CP and recognised conditions compared to all the other centres. A significantly higher prevalence compared to the EUROCAT mean was also observed in Dublin, Glasgow, Strasbourg and Bouches-du-Rhone whereas West Flanders, Paris, Luxembourg, Saxony, Tuscany, Umbria, North east Italy, Campania, Barcelona and El Valles showed a significantly lower rate (see Table 2).
- For CLP a significantly higher prevalence compared to the EUROCAT mean was observed in Finland, Odense, Northern Netherlands, Hainaut-Namur, Strasbourg and Styria whilst Belfast, Paris, Luxembourg, Tuscany, Emilia Romagna, Campania, Basque Country, Barcelona, El Valles and Malta showed a significantly lower rate (see Table 3).

Time Trend

No significant time trend was observed for the period 1980-1996.

Impact of Induced Abortions Following Prenatal Diagosis

The proportion of induced abortions following prenatal diagnosis is small (4.5% for CP; 11.8% for CLP) and generally refers to more severe anomalies associated with OC's (see Table 4). The detection rate diagnosed by ultrasound (Clementi et al, 2000) was 27% for CLP and 7% for CP.

Association of OC's with Other Defects

The prevalence of the isolated conditions confirms, in general, the observations concerning the total cases, especially in the northern registries, both for CP and CLP cases. Among isolated cases 65.8% were CLP.

In 1,720 (18.3%) cases, an OC occurred amongst a recognised condition (see Table 1), OC in chromosomal aberrations were observed in 1,542 cases (16.1%).

In a few centres recognised conditions were observed in excess, both in CP (see Table 2) and CLP cases (see Table 3). The diagnostic ability, particularly in detecting syndromes, must be kept into account.

In 1,530 (16.2%) cases, multiple congenital anomalies of unknown origin was found. In 18% of all cases CP was found to be associated with one or more major anomalies. An association of CP and NTD in a well defined Northern European geographical area was found (see Figure 3).

Sex Ratio

The well-known sex ratio difference between CP and CLP was confirmed (see Figure 4).

Interpretation of the Epidemiological Data

The differential use of multiple sources of ascertainment and diagnostic methods could explain some variations even among EUROCAT Registers with defined inclusion criteria, but it is likely that significant differences in genotype and/or environmental exposures (Romitti et al, 1999; Shaw et al, 1996; Botto and Yang, 2000) may account for some of the geographic differences.

The overall OC data showed a fourfold variation in Europe, a sixfold variation in CP and a fourfold variation in CL(P). Such a large variation remained high also when excluding the maximum and minimum rates observed in Finland and El Valles (Spain) for OC and CP, and in Northern Netherlands and El Valles for CL(P): 2.7 in OC, 2.5 and 3.1 in CL and CL(P) respectively.

Some differences within countries appear worth consideration, such as that between North and South Netherlands, Belgium and Spain. As for France, Strasbourg showed the highest rates compared to Bouches-du-Rhone and Paris. In Italian centres more homogeneous rates were observed. However a slight North-South trend appears for OC overall.

In the British Isles no relevant difference among centres appears, with the exception of Belfast where decreasing rates were observed. In Styria the rate of CL(P) resulted lower only to the higher rates observed in Odense (Denmark) and Northern Netherlands.

Mechanisms that lead to OC's are heterogeneous and the final phenotype is the result of gene products that interact in many ways with one another and the environment to establish phenotypes. Accordingly significant differences in genotype and/or environmental exposures may account for some of the geographic variations. Our interpretation is that genetic diversity accounts for more of the variation in between-register prevalence rates than does differing methods of ascertainment. As a consequence genetic research studies that collect samples from different areas, have to take into account the different gene frequency among the population, different mutations in the same genes and the environment. Knowledge of these factors can be also relevant in the future for genetic testing.

References

- 1. Botto LD, Yang, Q (2000), "5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase Gene Variants and Congenital Anomalies: A HuGE Review", American Journal of Epidemiology, Vol 1, No 151(9), pp 862-877.
- 2. Clementi M, Tenconi R, Bianchi E, Stoll C and the EUROSCAN Study Group (2000), "Evaluation of Prenatal Diagnosis of Cleft Lip With or Without Cleft Palate and Cleft Palate by Ultrasound: Experience from 20 European Registries", Prenatal Diagnosis, Vol 20, No 11, pp 870-875.
- Romitti PA, Lidral AC, Munger RG, Daack-Hirsch S, Burns TL, Murray JC (1999), "Candidate genes for Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip and Palate and Maternal Cigarette Smoking and Alcohol Consumption: Evaluation of Genotype-Environment Interactions from a Population-Based Case-Control Study of Orofacial Clefts", Teratology, Vol 59, No 1, pp 39-50.
- 4. Shaw GM, Wasserman CR, Lammer EJ, O'Malley CD, Murray JC, Basart AM, Tolarova MM (1996), "Orofacial Clefts, Parental Cigarette Smoking, and Transforming Growth Factor-Alpha Gene Variants", American Journal of Human Genetics, Vol 58, No 3, pp 551-561.



Figure 1: Oral Clefts (OC's) in Europe



Figure 2: Cleft Palate and Cleft Lip +/- Palate in 30 EUROCAT Centres



Figure 3: Association of Cleft Palate and Neural Tube Defects in a Well Defined North European Geographic Area

Figure 4: Sex Ratio in Orofacial Clefts



	Total Total		al		Isola	ted		МСА		Recognised Conditions					
Centres	Period	Births	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95%	% CI
Galway (Ireland)	1981-94	41,549	50	12.0	8.7 - 15.4	31	7.5	4.8 - 10.1	6	1.4	0.3 - 2.6	13	0.5	0.0 -	1.1
Dublin (Ireland)	1980-96	357,457	577	16.1	14.8 - 17.5	430	12.0	10.9 - 13.2	61	0.9	0.6 - 1.2	90	0.6	0.3 -	0.8
Belfast (UK)	1980-94	405,352	506	12.5	11.4 - 13.6	255	6.3	5.5 - 7.1	136	1.8	1.4 - 2.2	115	1.2	0.9 -	1.5
Glasgow (UK)	1980-96	212,677	376	17.7	15.9 - 19.5	193	9.1	7.8 - 10.4	81	1.9	1.3 - 2.5	102	1.3	- 8.0	1.7
Liverpool (UK)	1980-87	184,530	289	15.7	13.9 - 17.5	193	10.5	9.0 - 11.9	62	1.5	1.0 - 2.1	34	0.4	0.1 -	0.7
Odense (Denmark)	1980-96	89,349	203	22.7	19.6 - 25.8	138	15.4	12.9 - 18.0	42	2.8	1.7 - 3.9	23	1.7	- 8.0	2.5
Finland	1993-96	253,847	665	26.2	24.2 - 28.2	428	16.9	15.3 - 18.5	67	1.4	1.0 - 1.9	180	2.1	1.5 -	2.6
North Netherlands	1981-96	228,599	519	22.7	20.8 - 24.7	352	15.4	13.8 - 17.0	90	2.1	1.5 - 2.7	77	1.2	0.7 -	1.6
South West Netherlands	1991-96	155,215	249	16.0	14.0 - 18.0	194	12.5	10.7 - 14.3	32	1.3	0.7 - 1.9	23	0.3	0.0 -	0.6
Luxembourg	1980-89	26,680	25	9.4	5.7 - 13.0	20	7.5	4.2 - 10.8	3	0.7	0.0 - 1.8	2	0.0	0.0 -	0.0
Hainaut-Namur (Belgium)	1980-96	177,885	310	17.4	15.5 - 19.4	203	11.4	9.8 - 13.0	56	1.7	1.1 - 2.4	51	1.2	0.7 -	1.7
West Flanders (Belgium)	1980-90	140,061	170	12.1	10.3 - 14.0	136	9.7	8.1 - 11.3	22	1.3	0.7 - 1.9	12	0.4	0.0 -	0.7
Paris (France)	1981-96	585,049	773	13.2	12.3 - 14.1	429	7.3	6.6 - 8.0	171	1.7	1.4 - 2.1	173	1.3	1.0 -	1.6
Bouches-du Rhone (France)	1985-96	276,574	468	16.9	15.4 - 18.5	288	10.4	9.2 - 11.6	68	1.3	0.8 - 1.7	115	2.1	1.5 -	2.6
Strasbourg (France)	1982-96	199,055	388	19.5	17.6 - 21.4	251	12.6	11.0 - 14.2	60	1.4	0.9 - 1.9	78	1.5	0.9 -	2.0
Saxony-Anhalt (Germany)	1980-96	233,877	334	14.3	12.7 - 15.8	251	10.7	9.4 - 12.1	70	1.8	1.3 - 2.4	14	0.4	0.1 -	0.6
Switzerland	1988-96	442,197	672	15.2	14.0 - 16.3	447	10.1	9.2 - 11.0	74	0.8	0.5 - 1.1	152	1.2	0.9 -	1.5
Styrian (Austria)	1985-96	158,754	303	19.1	16.9 - 21.2	209	13.2	11.4 - 14.9	50	2.0	1.3 - 2.6	44	1.6	1.0 -	2.2
Zagreb (Croatia)	1983-96	84,715	124	14.6	12.1 - 17.2	99	11.7	9.4 - 14.0	21	1.4	0.6 - 2.2	4	0.1	0.0 -	0.3
North East Italy	1981-96	716,939	961	13.4	12.6 - 14.3	643	9.0	8.3 - 9.7	126	0.9	0.7 - 1.2	193	1.4	1.1 -	1.7
Emilia Romagna (Italy)	1981-96	371,499	477	12.8	11.7 - 14.0	322	8.7	7.7 - 9.6	78	0.9	0.6 - 1.2	77	0.5	0.3 -	0.7
Tuscany (Italy)	1980-96	230,455	263	11.4	10.0 - 12.8	201	8.7	7.5 - 9.9	38	0.9	0.5 - 1.2	25	0.3	0.1 -	0.6
Umbria (Italy)	1980-89	77,957	88	11.3	8.9 - 13.6	67	8.6	6.5 - 10.7	14	0.9	0.2 - 1.6	7	0.5	0.0 -	1.0
Campania (Italy)	1993-96	174,082	186	10.7	9.1 - 12.2	138	7.9	6.6 - 9.2	25	1.0	0.5 - 1.4	30	0.5	0.1 -	0.8
South Portugal	1990-96	46,558	67	14.4	10.9 - 17.8	44	9.5	6.7 - 12.2	12	1.3	0.3 - 2.3	12	0.6	0.0 -	1.4
Asturias (Spain)	1990-96	49,587	71	14.3	11.0 - 17.6	40	8.1	5.6 - 10.6	16	2.0	0.8 - 3.3	17	1.0	0.1 -	1.9
Barcelona (Spain)	1992-96	63,054	53	8.4	6.1 - 10.7	32	5.1	3.3 - 6.8	9	1.0	0.2 - 1.7	12	1.0	0.2 -	1.7
Basque Country (Spain)	1990-96	111,750	127	11.4	9.4 - 13.3	72	6.4	5.0 - 7.9	20	1.1	0.5 - 1.7	35	0.9	0.3 -	1.4
El Valles (Spain)	1993-96	28,506	18	6.3	3.4 - 9.2	11	3.9	1.6 - 6.1	6	1.4	0.0 - 2.8	2	0.4	0.0 -	1.0
Malta	1986-96	57,640	78	13.5	10.5 - 16.5	56	9.7	7.2 - 12.3	14	0.7	0.0 - 1.4	8	0.0	0.0 -	0.0
30 EUROCAT Registries		6,181,449	9,390	15.2	14.9 15.5	6,173	10.0	5.0 15.0	1,530	2.5	0.0 5.0	1,720	9.0	8.7	9.2

Table 1: Total Oral Clefts in 30 EUROCAT Registries: Number and Prevalence by Association with other Anomalies

	Total			Total			Isolated			MC	4	Recognised Conditions			
Centres	Period	Births	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95% CI	No.	Rate	95%	∕₀ CI
Galway (Ireland)	1981-94	41,549	20	4.8	2.7 - 6.9	9	2.2 0.8	3 - 3.6	0	0.0	0.0 - 0.0	11	2.6	1.1 -	4.2
Dublin (Ireland)	1980-96	357,457	264	7.3	6.5 - 8.3	169	4.7 4.0) - 5.4	30	0.8	0.5 - 1.1	65	1.8	1.4 -	2.3
Belfast (UK)	1980-94	405,352	228	5.6	4.9 - 6.4	100	2.5 2.0) - 3.0	62	1.5	1.1 - 1.9	66	1.6	1.2 -	2.0
Glasgow (UK)	1980-96	212,677	190	8.9	7.7 - 10.2	75	3.5 2.7	7 - 4.3	40	1.9	1.3 - 2.5	75	3.5	2.7 -	4.3
Liverpool (UK)	1980-87	184,530	126	6.8	5.6 - 8.0	65	3.5 2.7	- 4.4	34	1.8	1.2 - 2.5	27	1.5	0.9 -	2.0
Odense (Denmark)	1980-96	89,349	66	7.4	5.6 - 9.2	41	4.6 3.2	2 - 6.0	17	1.9	1.0 - 2.8	8	0.9	0.3 -	1.5
Finland	1993-96	253,847	386	15.2	13.7 - 16.7	237	9.3 8.1	- 10.5	31	1.2	0.8 - 1.7	118	4.6	3.8 -	5.5
North Netherlands	1981-96	228,599	156	6.8	5.8 - 7.9	65	2.8 2.2	2 - 3.5	41	1.8	1.2 - 2.3	50	2.2	1.6 -	2.8
South West Netherlands	1991-96	155,215	86	5.5	4.4 - 6.7	56	3.6 2.7	- 4.6	12	0.8	0.3 - 1.2	18	1.2	0.6 -	1.7
Luxembourg	1980-89	26,680	10	3.7	1.4 - 6.1	7	2.6 0.7	- 4.6	1	0.4	0.0 - 1.1	2	0.7	0.0 -	1.8
Hainaut-Namur (Belgium)	1980-96	177,885	112	6.3	5.1 - 7.5	57	3.2 2.4	- 4.0	25	1.4	0.9 - 2.0	30	1.7	1.1 -	2.3
West Flanders (Belgium)	1980-90	140,061	49	3.5	2.5 - 4.5	38	2.7 1.9	9- 3.6	4	0.3	0.0 - 0.6	7	0.5	0.1 -	0.9
Paris (France)	1981-96	585,049	298	5.1	4.5 - 5.7	132	2.3 1.9	9 - 2.6	70	1.2	0.9 - 1.5	96	1.6	1.3 -	2.0
Bouches-du Rhone (France)	1985-96	276,574	206	7.4	6.4 - 8.5	117	4.2 3.5	5 - 5.0	33	1.2	0.8 - 1.6	56	2.0	1.5 -	2.6
Strasbourg (France)	1982-96	199,055	175	8.8	7.5 - 10.1	95	4.8 3.8	8 - 5.7	32	1.6	1.1 - 2.2	48	2.4	1.7 -	3.1
Saxony-Anhalt (Germany)	1980-96	233,877	92	3.9	3.1 - 4.7	60	2.6 1.9	9 - 3.2	27	1.2	0.7 - 1.6	5	0.2	0.0 -	0.4
Switzerland	1988-96	442,197	281	6.4	5.6 - 7.1	146	3.3 2.8	3 - 3.8	38	0.9	0.6 - 1.1	97	2.2	1.8 -	2.6
Styrian (Austria)	1985-96	158,754	93	5.9	4.7 - 7.0	55	3.5 2.5	5 - 4.4	19	1.2	0.7 - 1.7	19	1.2	0.7 -	1.7
Zagreb (Croatia)	1983-96	84,715	45	5.3	3.8 - 6.9	33	3.9 2.6	6 - 5.2	9	1.1	0.4 - 1.8	3	0.4	0.0 -	0.8
North East Italy	1981-96	716,939	355	5.0	4.4 - 5.5	204	2.8 2.5	5 - 3.2	58	0.8	0.6 - 1.0	93	1.3	1.0 -	1.6
Emilia Romagna (Italy)	1981-96	371,499	222	6.0	5.2 - 6.8	120	3.2 2.7	7 - 3.8	44	1.2	0.8 - 1.5	58	1.6	1.2 -	2.0
Tuscany (Italy)	1980-96	230,455	108	4.7	3.8 - 5.6	74	3.2 2.5	5 - 3.9	18	0.8	0.4 - 1.1	16	0.7	0.4 -	1.0
Umbria (Italy)	1980-89	77,957	29	3.7	2.4 - 5.1	19	2.4 1.3	3 - 3.5	7	0.9	0.2 - 1.6	3	0.4	0.0 -	0.8
Campania (Italy)	1993-96	174,082	69	4.0	3.0 - 4.9	45	2.6 1.8	3 - 3.3	7	0.4	0.1 - 0.7	17	1.0	0.5 -	1.4
South Portugal	1990-96	46,558	26	5.6	3.4 - 7.7	12	2.6 1.1	- 4.0	6	1.3	0.3 - 2.3	8	1.7	0.5 -	2.9
Asturias (Spain)	1990-96	49,587	29	5.8	3.7 - 8.0	13	2.6 1.2	2 - 4.0	6	1.2	0.2 - 2.2	10	2.0	0.8 -	3.3
Barcelona (Spain)	1992-96	63,054	22	3.5	2.0 - 4.9	13	2.1 0.9	9 - 3.2	3	0.5	0.0 - 1.0	6	1.0	0.2 -	1.7
Basque Country (Spain)	1990-96	111,750	58	5.2	3.9 - 6.5	25	2.2 1.4	I- 3.1	8	0.7	0.2 - 1.2	25	2.2	1.4 -	3.1
El Valles (Spain)	1993-96	28,506	7	2.5	0.6 - 4.3	4	1.4 0.0) - 2.8	2	0.7	0.0 - 1.7	1	0.4	0.0 -	1.0
Malta	1986-96	57,640	44	7.6	5.4 - 9.9	26	4.5 2.8	8 - 6.2	10	1.7	0.7 - 2.8	8	1.4	0.4 -	2.3
30 EUROCAT Registries		6,181,449	3,852	6.2	6.0 - 6.4	2,112	3.4 3.3	3-3.6	694	1.1	1.0 - 1.2	1,046	1.7	1.6 -	1.8

Table 2: Cleft Palate in 30 EUROCAT Registries: Number and Prevalence by Association with other Anomalies

		Total		Tot	al			Isola	ted			MCA	۱.		Recog	nised C	ondit	ions
Centres	Period	Births	No.	Rate	g	5% CI	No.	Rate	9	95% CI	No.	Rate	9	5% CI	No.	Rate	95	5% CI
Galway (Ireland)	1981-94	41,549	30	7.2	4.6	9.8	22	5.3	3.1	7.5	6	1.4	0.3	2.6	2	0.5	0.0	1.1
Dublin (Ireland)	1980-96	357,457	313	8.8	7.8	9.7	261	7.3	6.4	8.2	31	0.9	0.6	1.2	21	0.6	0.3	0.8
Belfast (UK)	1980-94	405,352	278	6.9	6.1	7.7	155	3.8	3.2	4.4	74	1.8	1.4	2.2	49	1.2	0.9	1.5
Glasgow (UK)	1980-96	212,677	186	8.7	7.5	10.0	118	5.5	4.5	6.5	41	1.9	1.3	2.5	27	1.3	0.8	1.7
Liverpool (UK)	1980-87	184,530	163	8.8	7.5	10.2	128	6.9	5.7	8.1	28	1.5	1.0	2.1	7	0.4	0.1	0.7
Odense (Denmark)	1980-96	89,349	137	15.3	12.8	17.9	97	10.9	8.7	13.0	25	2.8	1.7	3.9	15	1.7	0.8	2.5
Finland	1993-96	253,847	279	11.0	9.7	12.3	190	7.5	6.4	8.5	36	1.4	1.0	1.9	53	2.1	1.5	2.6
North Netherlands	1981-96	228,599	363	15.9	14.2	17.5	287	12.6	11.1	14.0	49	2.1	1.5	2.7	27	1.2	0.7	1.6
South West Netherlands	1991-96	155,215	163	10.5	8.9	12.1	138	8.9	7.4	10.4	20	1.3	0.7	1.9	5	0.3	0.0	0.6
Luxembourg	1980-89	26,680	15	5.6	2.8	8.5	13	4.9	2.2	7.5	2	0.7	0.0	1.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hainaut-Namur (Belgium)	1980-96	177,885	198	11.1	9.6	12.7	146	8.2	6.9	9.5	31	1.7	1.1	2.4	21	1.2	0.7	1.7
West Flanders (Belgium)	1980-90	140,061	121	8.6	7.1	10.2	98	7.0	5.6	8.4	18	1.3	0.7	1.9	5	0.4	0.0	0.7
Paris (France)	1981-96	585,049	475	8.1	7.4	8.8	297	5.1	4.5	5.7	101	1.7	1.4	2.1	77	1.3	1.0	1.6
Bouches-du Rhone (France)	1985-96	276,574	262	9.5	8.3	10.6	170	6.1	5.2	7.1	35	1.3	0.8	1.7	57	2.1	1.5	2.6
Strasbourg (France)	1982-96	199,055	213	10.7	9.3	12.1	156	7.8	6.6	9.1	28	1.4	0.9	1.9	29	1.5	0.9	2.0
Saxony-Anhalt (Germany)	1980-96	233,877	242	10.3	9.0	11.7	190	8.1	7.0	9.3	43	1.8	1.3	2.4	9	0.4	0.1	0.6
Switzerland	1988-96	442,197	391	8.8	8.0	9.7	301	6.8	6.0	7.6	36	0.8	0.5	1.1	54	1.2	0.9	1.5
Styrian (Austria)	1985-96	158,754	210	13.2	11.4	15.0	154	9.7	8.2	11.2	31	2.0	1.3	2.6	25	1.6	1.0	2.2
Zagreb (Croatia)	1983-96	84,715	79	9.3	7.3	11.4	66	7.8	5.9	9.7	12	1.4	0.6	2.2	1	0.1	0.0	0.3
North East Italy	1981-96	716,939	606	8.5	7.8	9.1	439	6.1	5.6	6.7	68	0.9	0.7	1.2	99	1.4	1.1	1.7
Emilia Romagna (Italy)	1981-96	371,499	255	6.9	6.0	7.7	202	5.4	4.7	6.2	34	0.9	0.6	1.2	19	0.5	0.3	0.7
Tuscany (Italy)	1980-96	230,455	155	6.7	5.7	7.8	127	5.5	4.6	6.5	20	0.9	0.5	1.2	8	0.3	0.1	0.6
Umbria (Italy)	1980-89	77,957	59	7.6	5.6	9.5	48	6.2	4.4	7.9	7	0.9	0.2	1.6	4	0.5	0.0	1.0
Campania (Italy)	1993-96	174,082	117	6.7	5.5	7.9	92	5.3	4.2	6.4	17	1.0	0.5	1.4	8	0.5	0.1	0.8
South Portugal	1990-96	46,558	41	8.8	6.1	11.5	32	6.9	4.5	9.3	6	1.3	0.3	2.3	3	0.6	0.0	1.4
Asturias (Spain)	1990-96	49,587	42	8.5	5.9	11.0	27	5.4	3.4	7.5	10	2.0	0.8	3.3	5	1.0	0.1	1.9
Barcelona (Spain)	1992-96	63,054	31	4.9	3.2	6.6	19	3.0	1.7	4.4	6	1.0	0.2	1.7	6	1.0	0.2	1.7
Basque Country (Spain)	1990-96	111,750	69	6.2	4.7	7.6	47	4.2	3.0	5.4	12	1.1	0.5	1.7	10	0.9	0.3	1.4
El Valles (Spain)	1993-96	28,506	11	3.9	1.6	6.1	6	2.1	0.4	3.8	4	1.4	0.0	2.8	1	0.4	0.0	1.0
Malta	1986-96	57,640	34	5.9	3.9	7.9	30	5.2	3.3	7.1	4	0.7	0.7	2.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 EUROCAT Registries		6,181,449	5,538	9.0	8.7	9.2	4056	6.6	6.4	6.8	835	1.4	1.3	1.4	647	1.0	1.0	1.1

 Table 3: Cleft Lip +/- Palate in 30 EUROCAT Registries: Number and Prevalence by Association with other Anomalies

Table 4: Impact of Induced Abortions Following Prenatal Diagnosis

	СР	CL	CLP	Total
Isolated	4	8	16	29
MCA	71	36	85	192
Recognised Condition	100	53	153	305
TOTAL	175	97	254	526
%	4.5	4.6	7.2	5.5

Table 5:CP and CLP Classified into Isolated, Multiple Congenital Anomalies
(MCA) and Recognised Conditions

		Isolated	MCA	Recognised Condition	Total
CP	No	2,112	694	1,046	3,852
	%	54.83	18.02	27.15	100.00
CLP	No	4,056	835	647	5,538
	%	73.24	15.08	11.68	100.00
Total	No	6,068	1,529	1,693	9,390
	%	65.69	16.28	18.03	100.00